

# **Intracranial haemorrhage related to direct oral anticoagulant medications: Latest evidence for reversal strategies**

**Data updates  
October 2024**

# There is new evidence for the efficacy and safety of DOAC reversal agents and for monitoring their efficiency

	EFFICACY AND SAFETY OF 4F-PCC <sup>1</sup>	EFFICACY AND SAFETY OF ANDEXANET ALFA VS 4F-PCC <sup>2</sup>	MONITORING THE EFFICIENCY OF REVERSAL ON ANTI-FX <sub>a</sub> DOACs <sup>3</sup>
<b>Aims</b>	To evaluate outcomes in patients treated with PCC for FXaI-associated bleeding or urgent surgery	To assess the comparative efficacy and safety of AA and 4F-PCC in the reversal of FXaI-associated ICH	To assess point-of-care viscoelastic testing as a method of detecting DOAC concentrations before/after reversal
<b>Methods</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Single-centre retrospective study</li> <li>Patients receiving 4F-PCC* for FXaI-associated major bleeding or surgery</li> <li>Primary outcome: haemostatic efficacy</li> <li>Safety outcome: 30-day risk of TE</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Systematic review and meta-analysis of studies until 16 May 2024 (N=2,977)</li> <li>Primary outcomes: Haemostatic efficacy; overall mortality; TE events</li> <li>REM used to pool data</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Case series of three patients requiring DOAC reversal for bleeding</li> <li>POC VET lab assays (RVV clotting time test) used to measure DOAC effects after reversal with AA</li> </ul>
<b>Results</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>FXaI-associated bleeding, n=83<sup>†</sup> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>32 (39%)</b> had ICH</li> <li>Effective haemostasis in <b>67%</b> of patients with bleeding</li> </ul> </li> <li>30-day risk of TE was <b>8%</b> overall</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Haemostatic efficacy in favour of AA: <b>RR 1.10</b>, 95% CI 1.01–1.20 (<b>p=0.02</b>)</li> <li>Lower overall mortality with AA: <b>RR 0.67</b>, 95% CI 0.51–0.88 (<b>p=0.004</b>)</li> <li>More TE events with AA: <b>RR 1.47</b>, 95% CI 1.01–2.15 (<b>p=0.046</b>)</li> </ul>	RVV clotting time assays were able to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Detect and quantify DOACs</li> <li>Confirm haemostatic effect of reversal agents (<i>clotting time after AA infusion: 90–91s<sup>‡</sup></i>)</li> <li>Identify late DOAC rebound</li> </ul>
<b>Conclusions</b>	<b>PCC for FXaI-associated bleeding was associated with haemostatic efficacy in two-thirds of patients; 30-day TE event rate was &lt;10%</b>	<b>AA is superior to 4F-PCC in terms of haemostatic efficacy and reducing overall mortality. More TE events are associated with use of AA vs 4F-PCC</b>	<b>If confirmed in large validation studies, utilization of RVV-CT in routine emergency care will streamline the care of DOAC patients</b>

\*25–50 IU/kg; †Urgent surgery, n=22; ‡Results for patients 1 and 3; patient 2 received low-dose AA as rescue therapy.

4F-PCC, four-factor of prothrombin complex concentrate; AA, andexanet alfa; DOAC, direct oral anticoagulant; FXaI, Factor Xa inhibitor; GI, gastrointestinal; ICH, intracranial haemorrhage; POC, point-of-care; REM, random effects model; RR, risk ratio; RVV, Russell viper venom; TE, thromboembolism; VET, viscoelastic testing.

1. Shaw JR, et al. *Thromb Res*. 2024;243: 109172; 2. Sarhan K, et al. *Neurocrit Care*. 2024. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12028-024-02130-y>. Online ahead of print;

3. Heubner L, et al. *Thromb J*. 2024;22:89.

# INTERACT trial updates demonstrate the importance of blood pressure and blood sugar control in ICH







	<b>POOLED ANALYSIS OF ALL FOUR INTERACT TRIALS<sup>1</sup></b> <i>Impact of timing of blood pressure lowering</i>	<b>INTERACT3 TRIAL SUBANALYSIS<sup>2</sup></b> <i>Impact of blood glucose levels</i>
<b>Aims</b>	<b>To assess the heterogeneity in the treatment effect of BP lowering, based on treatment time in ICH</b>	<b>To determine associations of BG and unfavourable functional outcome in patients with ICH without diabetes</b>
<b>Methods</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Individual patient data pooled analysis of INTERACT1, 2, 3 and 4 trials</li> <li>N=2,921 patients with ICH</li> <li>Ordinal logistic regression model used to define heterogeneity in treatment effect on 90-d efficacy (mRS)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Post hoc analysis of INTERACT3, an RCT where BL characteristics were collected at ICH hospital admission</li> <li>Logistic regression models used to determine associations of BG as continuous and categorical exposures and 6-month functional outcome (mRS)*</li> </ul>
<b>Results</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Treatment, n=1,467; control, n=1,454</li> <li>Significant heterogeneity in treatment effect by treatment time (p for interaction, <b>0.005</b>)</li> <li>More benefit if BP-lowering therapy given within 3 hours of onset</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>n=6,306; median BG 7.1 mmol/L</li> <li>No association between BG and functional outcome: <b>aOR 1.01</b>, 95% CI 0.98-1.04 (<b>p=0.64</b>)</li> <li>Higher BG increased the risk of death: <b>aOR 1.08</b>, 95% CI 1.04-1.12 (<b>p&lt;0.0001</b>) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>BG &gt;10mmol/L group: <b>aOR 1.50</b>, 95% CI 1.15-1.96 vs the &lt;7.8 mmol/L group (<b>p=0.0019</b>)</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
<b>Conclusions</b>	<b>Individual patient data pooling aims to provide robust evidence for informing clinical guidelines regarding early BP lowering</b>	<b>In patients with ICH without diabetes, very high BG (&gt;10.0 mmol/L) is associated with death over 6 months. Glycaemic control should be applied in such patients</b>

\*Adjusted for study-design, patient and management variables. aOR, adjusted odds ratio; BG, blood glucose; BL, baseline; BP, blood pressure; CI, confidence interval; d, day; ICH, intracranial haemorrhage; INTERACT, Intensive Blood Pressure Reduction in Acute Cerebral Hemorrhage Trials; mRS, modified Rankin Scale; RCT, randomized controlled trial.

1. Ren X. Presented at the 16<sup>th</sup> World Stroke Congress, 23–26 October 2024, Abu Dhabi, UAE. Available at: <https://cslide.ctimeetingtech.com/wsc24/attendee/confcal/session/list> (accessed 24 October 2024); 2. Ouyang M. Presented at the 16<sup>th</sup> World Stroke Congress, 23–26 October 2024, Abu Dhabi, UAE. Available at: <https://cslide.ctimeetingtech.com/wsc24/attendee/confcal/session/list> (accessed 24 October 2024).

# The Scientific and Standardization Committee of the ISTH has updated its guidance on reversal of DOACs

2024 update to the prior 2016 guidance includes:

-  DOAC reversal agents
-  Comparisons among reversal agents
-  Reversal strategies under investigation
-  Potential indications for reversal
-  Laboratory testing
-  Hospital use and administration